

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,084 12/01/2003		12/01/2003	Minoru Nishida	NISH3003/REF	1662	
23364	7590	11/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BACON	& THOM.	AS, PLLC	BECKER,	BECKER, DREW E		
625 SLAT FOURTH	ERS LANI FLOOR	E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXAN	DRIA, VA	22314	1761	•		
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commence	10/724,084	NISHIDA ET AL.	•				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	·	Drew E. Becker	1761					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR on SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication of the period by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this cannot be carried and car					
Status								
1)[∑]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	Sontombor 2006						
2a)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 September 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	, 							
ا (۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D	. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9,16-33,36-43,46 and 49-51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) 10-15, 34-35, 44-45, 47-48 are subj	ect to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the Examir	· nor						
	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		ov the Everniner					
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		- <u>-</u>					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			TD 4 404(4)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		•					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 H S C &	110(a) (d) or (f)					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in priority under 55 0.5.0. §	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).					
٥/١	Certified copies of the priority documents	ats have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		antication No					
				Ctore				
			received in this National	Stage				
* C	application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not t	receivea.					
Attachmen	` '	_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1761 .

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group II in the reply filed on 9/7/06 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-9, 16-33, 36-43, 46, and 49-51 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 10-15 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: species A (a fry cooking device set in claims 34-35), species B (a fry cooking apparatus in claims 44-45), and species C (a fry cooking system in claims 47-48). The species are independent or distinct because species A is directed to a device set for frying angled flat foods, species A is directed to an apparatus with an exhaust system, and species C is directed to a cooking system with a multi-function carrier. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Art Unit: 1761

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,084

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DREW BECKER
PIMARY EXAMINER